

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APP	APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/825,046		04/15/2004	Richard J. Mackool	103471-51150	7400
	26345	7590	03/23/2006		EXAMINER	
		•		GRIFFINGER & VECCHIONE	MCCORKLE, MELISSA A	
	1 RIVERFRONT PLAZA NEWARK, NJ 07102-5497				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					3763	
				DATE MAIL ED: 02/22/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				- E	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/825,046	MACKOOL, RICH	MACKOOL, RICHARD J.	
Office Action Sur	nmary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	<u>.                                    </u>	Melissa A. McCorkle	3763		
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	is communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FR - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing do - If NO period for reply is specified above, to - Failure to reply within the set or extended Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	OM THE MAILING [ r the provisions of 37 CFR 1 ate of this communication. the maximum statutory period period for reply will, by statu three months after the maili	DATE OF THIS COMMUL. 136(a). In no event, however, may be will apply and will expire SIX (6) Note, cause the application to become	NICATION.  y a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on 13	December 2005.			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.			
<ol> <li>Since this application is in closed in accordance with</li> </ol>				ne merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4)	<u>6-11</u> is/are withdravowed. e rejected. ected to.	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5 April 2004 is/are: anat any objection to the	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob e drawing(s) be held in abe	ojected to by the Examiner. yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 C		
11) The oath or declaration is	=				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of</li><li>3. Copies of the certified</li></ul>	None of: the priority documenthe priority documentied copies of the pried International Bure	nts have been received. nts have been received ir ority documents have be au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this Nationa	al Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89)	2)		ew Summary (PTO-413)		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw     Information Disclosure Statement(s)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ing Review (PTO-948)	Paper I	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (P1	TO-152)	

Art Unit: 3763

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1- 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bailly et al (EP 0570255). Bailly et al discloses a sterilized barrier apparatus (6) comprising a sterile, tubular sheath (16), that is collapsible into a collapsed condition and expandable from the collapsed position into an expanded position (fig 3), a hollow extension tube (8) secured to a distal end of the sterile tubular sheath (fig 3), an aspiration tube (4) having a distal end with to which is attached the hollow extension tube (fig 3), the sterile, tubular sheath being secured to the aspiration tube (fig 3) at a location spaced from the distal end of the aspiration tube as being in the expanded condition (fig 3), further comprising a surgical pack that includes the surgical pack including a cassette arranged to receive contents of the aspiration tube that are being aspirated (col 1 lines 5-10), the aspiration tube having a proximal end closer to the cassette that the distal end of the aspiration tube (col 1 lines 5-10 and fig 3), the aspiration tube having a proximal end closer to the cassette that the distal end of the aspiration tube (col 1 lines 15-35), further comprising an instrument console with suction pump that, when activated, suctions the aspiration tube, the aspiration tube projecting from the instrument console (col 1 lines 5-10), further comprising a surgical handpiece (7 – a nozzle, col 2 lines 35-45) attached to

Application/Control Number: 10/825,046 Page 3

**Art Unit: 3763** 

the distal end of the aspiration tube (fig 3), and is capable of being attached to the distal end of the hollow extension tube (col 2 lines 35-45 and fig 3).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bailly et al in view of Knoll et al (5,242,398). Bailly et al discloses all of applicant's basic inventive concept of a sterile tubing sheath substantially as claimed with the exception of the tubular sheath configured so as to be collapsible into the collapsed position in an accordion fashion. Knoll et al shows this feature to be old in the medical devices art see fig 1 and abstract.) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention from the teaching of Knoll to modify the collapsible sheath

of Bailly by making the sheath accordion-like so that it collapses easier and more efficiently than a non-accordion like sheath.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 12/13/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant suggests that the tubular cover of Bailly is not secured to the aspiration tube. Examiner disagrees and points out that in the specification the adaptor is connected to the nozzle and the cover is connected to the adaptor and the other end defines a cavity and is adapted to receive the nozzle and the cover is connectable with the nozzle (see zone c-connection to nozzle and zone e, connection to an end, fig 8a as stated in specification.) Therefore, the device is capable of being connected to the both the nozzle and the adaptor which would be at locations spaced apart from one another.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/825,046 Page 5

Art Unit: 3763

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa A. McCorkle whose telephone number is (571) 272-2773. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melissa A McCorkle Examiner

Art Unit 3763

, ... , ...

obil